Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,750	GOODE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BHISMA MEHTA	3767
Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>BHISMA MEHTA</u> .	(3)	
(2) Reed Duthler.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 March 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 13, 14, 15, and 17		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN It was indicated to Mr. Duthler that claim 13 as now amended with the dependency of claims 14, 15, and 17 to now dependence the Examiner's Amendment.	was a duplicate of claim 23. Amend	lments to cancel claim 13 and to
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
/Bhisma Mehta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767		
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)